

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
10

11 KABO TOOLS CO., et al.,)

12 Plaintiff(s),)

13 vs.)

14 PORAUTO INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD., et al.,)

15 Defendant(s).)
16 _____)

Case No. 2:12-cv-01859-LDG-NJK

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
COMPEL AND MOTION FOR A
PROTECTIVE ORDER
(Docket Nos. 32, 34).

17 Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion for a protective order, arguing that they should
18 not be required to comply with discovery requests until their motion for a stay of discovery is ruled
19 upon. Docket No. 32. Also pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to compel, arguing that
20 Defendants should be required to comply with discovery requests during the pendency of the motion
21 for a stay of discovery. Docket No. 34. As the Court has granted the motion for a stay in an order
22 issued concurrently herewith, the motion for a protective order and the motion to compel are **DENIED**
23 as moot.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 DATED: April 15, 2013

26 
27 _____
28 NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge